

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/672,496 09/26/2003 Robert P. Hart 2500-016B 3523 27820 7590 01/26/2004 **EXAMINER** WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C. MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS P.O. BOX 1287 CARY, NC 27512 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3751

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				61
·	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		672,496	HART ET AL.	
		miner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this		othy L. Maust	3751	
Period for Reply			with the correspond no addi	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO. - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. - If the period for reply specified above is less to a lift NO period for reply is specified above, the replant of the set of extended period of the set of extended period of the set	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a reply within the naximum statutory period will apply iod for reply will, by statute, cause to ee months after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of the or and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. NOTHS from the mailing date of this com	munication.
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>9/26/03</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	ı is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected 7)□ Claim(s) is/are object 8)□ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdrawn from ed. d. ed to.			
Application Papers		·		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is ob	eptember 2003 is/are: a any objection to the drawin including the correction is r jected to by the Examine	g(s) be held in abeya equired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) N 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the Ir * See the attached detailed Offi 13) Acknowledgment is made of a since a specific reference was 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the form of th	one of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority documents iternational Bureau (PCT ce action for a list of the claim for domestic prior included in the first sent reign language provisional claim for domestic prior	e been received. e been received in a cuments have been. Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no ity under 35 U.S.C ence of the specifical application has I	Application No n received in this National State received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application Date of the process of the provisional application or in an Application Date of the provisional application or in an Application Date of the provisional application or in an Application Date of the provisional application or in an Application Date of the provisional application or in an Application of the provisional application or in an Application of the provisional application or in an Application of the provisional application of the provisional application or in an Application or in an Application or in an Application of the provisional application or in an Application of the provisional application or in an Application of the provisional application	oplication) ata Sheet.
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO)	Review (PTO-948) 0-1449) Paper No(s)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 3751

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number. The instant application should indicate that it is a division of Application serial No. 10/427,364.

Drawings

The text "figure 3" in the drawings is informal and should be corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the plurality of dispensing points" in lines 3, 5 and 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3751

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,757. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application has independent claims 1, 7, 15, 19 and 23 that are fully encompassed by claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,757.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,496

Art Unit: 3751

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 83-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rowland et al.

In regards to claims 19, 20, 23 and 25-28, the Rowland et al. reference discloses a "vapor recovery monitoring system" (see Fig. 1) comprising a "vapor flow sensor" 140, inasmuch that is defined by a "meter", pulser 160 and hydraulic interface 150 meet the claim limitation, and a "central control" 80, as claimed (see col. 4, line 42 – col. 10, line 67).

In regard to claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-12, 15 and 16, the method as claimed would be inherent during normal use and operation of the device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L. Maust whose telephone number is (703) 308-3390. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. - Fri. 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,496

Art Unit: 3751

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0975.

Timothy Ľ. Maust Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Tlm 1/24/04